

SMAC Final Ranking 8.29.19

Tier 1							
Rank	Score				Total Points Earned	% of Points Possible	Rationale for Ranking position
1	1	Dakota County CDA	PSH	PSH	83	98%	Project Performance
2	2	Hearth Connection	Hearth Connection SMAC PSH	PSH	83	98%	Project Performance
3	3	Canvas Health	Mosaic Homes	PSH	83	98%	Project Performance
4	4	Washington County CDA	Washington County CDA PSH	PSH	83	98%	Project Performance
5	5	CAP	CAP RRH	RRH	78	98%	Project Performance
6	6	Carver CDA	Carver CDA PSH	PSH	80	94%	Project Performance
7	7	Dakota County	RRH	RRH	74	93%	Project Performance
8	8	The Link	SMAC RRH	RRH	74	93%	Project Performance
9	9	CAP	Scott/Carver PSH	PSH	81	95%	Project Performance
10	22	CAP	CONSOLIDATED PROJECT				CAP is consolidating the Scott/Carver PSH and the Combo projects, per HUD instruction, the Consolidated application must be ranked next to one of the renewal projects.
11	10	CAP	Bryant Aldrich	PSH	80	94%	Project Performance
12	15	CAP	SMAC PSH	PSH	N/A	N/A	This is a project that has not submitted their first APR to HUD, per SMAC NOFA policies, projects that have not submitted their first APR to HUD will not be scored and will be ranked in Tier 1.
13	16	Tubman	Youth DV TH/RRH	RRH	N/A	N/A	This is a project that has not submitted their first APR to HUD, per SMAC NOFA policies, projects that have not submitted their first APR to HUD will not be scored and will be ranked in Tier 1.
14	14	The Link	Cairn	PSH	62	73%	This project was historically used as operating funds in a site based building. Due to poor project performance with that model the project elected to change the project design to provide scattered site rental assistance. The project has not submitted an APR to HUD with the new project model. Due to this

SMAC Final Ranking 8.29.19

							consideration, the Ranking Committee looked at this project as the projects ranked 12 and 13 above.
<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>ICA</b>	<b>HMIS</b>	HMIS	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	Per SMAC NOFA policies, HMIS will be ranked in Tier 1 in order to protect funding for the required data system for CoC performance measurement.
<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>Hearth Connection</b>	<b>CES</b>	CES	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	Per SMAC NOFA policies, CE projects will be ranked in Tier 1 in order to protect the only funding supporting the administration of CE in the CoC.
<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>Hearth Connection</b>	<b>CES Expansion</b>		<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	Per SMAC NOFA policies, CE projects will be ranked in Tier 1 in order to protect the only funding supporting the administration of CE in the CoC. SMAC NOFA policies also state that Expansion projects funded through reallocation will be placed in Tier 1.
<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>Guild</b>	<b>PSH</b>	PSH	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	Per SMAC NOFA policies, projects funded through reallocation will be placed in Tier 1.
<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>CAP</b>	<b>Combo</b>	PSH	<b>76</b>	<b>89%</b>	Project Performance
<b>20</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>Commonbond</b>	<b>Granada</b>	PSH	<b>74</b>	<b>87%</b>	Project Performance
<b>Tier 2</b>							
<b>21</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>Mental Health Resources</b>	<b>Permanent Housing for Chronically Homeless</b>	PSH	<b>65</b>	<b>76%</b>	Project performance is the reason this project was ranked fully in Tier 2. A pattern of unspent funds was the Ranking Committee's biggest concern with this project.
<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>Hearth Connection</b>	<b>PSH Bonus</b>	PSH	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	SMAC NOFA policies state that BONUS projects will be ranked in Tier 1 at the Ranking Committee's discretion. The Ranking Committee was not comfortable to put high performing projects at risk in order to fund a new project in which households are yet housed.

The Ranking Committee voted to reallocate part of the Mental Health Resources project amount causing the project to fall fully into Tier 2.