

## SMAC Priorities for the local 2018 NOFA competition

Based on the data provided below SMAC Governing Board has voted on the following for priority funding targets during the 2018 local SMAC NOFA competition:

### 1) Priority be given to projects that target Washington County as their primary service area.

*Justification:*

- a. Washington County receives few monetary resources than any of the other SMAC communities from the CoC and from state funders.
- b. Washington County has the fewest units available for homeless households based on the 2018 Housing Inventory Chart.
- c. Washington County had the second highest unsheltered number during the 2018 Point in Time count.

### 2) Priority be given to projects that provide Permanent Supportive Housing to youth.

*Justification:*


- a. Youth and youth headed households currently make up 35% of the SMAC Coordinated Entry priority list and 22% of the total PSH list. This is a trend SMAC has seen since Coordinated Entry began in 2015.
- b. Youth specific PSH only makes up 5% of the 2018 Housing Inventory Chart.

### 3) Priority be given to projects that provide Rapid Rehousing to Singles.

*Justification:*


- a. Rapid Re Housing for singles currently only make up 4% of the SMAC HIC.

## Geography Data




Anoka	15%
Dakota	35%
Scott/Carver	21%
Washington	11%
Regional	17%

Housing Inventory Chart by Community



	PSH	RRH
Anoka	30%	4%
Dakota	32%	61%
Scott/Carver	10%	14%
Washington	9%	9%
Regional	20%	12%

State Funding by Community




SMAC Priorities

2018 NOFA


Anoka	39%
Dakota	18%
Scott/Carver	13%
Washington	4%
Regional	25%

2018 Unsheltered PIT Data by Community



Anoka	32%
Dakota	26%
Scott/Carver	16%
Washington	27%


Coordinated Entry Data as of 6/28/18 by Community



Anoka	29%
Dakota	38%
Scott/Carver	16%
Washington	17%


Population Data

Housing Inventory Chart by Population



	PSH	RRH
Singles	68%	4%
Families	27%	73%
Youth	5%	23%

Coordinated Entry Data as of 6/28/18 by Population



	PSH	RRH
Singles	16%	16%
Families	23%	10%
Youth Total	22%	13%
Single Youth	13%	6%
Youth Families	9%	6%