SMAC CES Committee Agenda

May 6th, 2021

9:00-10:30

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89587097398

+1 312 626 6799, Meeting ID: 895 8709 7398

1. Introductions – (via chat) 5 minutes
2. CE Procedure Document Review 10 minutes
	1. Information/Action Item:
		1. Document has been designed so that a new staff could step in and know what to do without bogging down the policy document with this information
	2. Feedback:
		1. I read about 1/2 and it looked good through section 3
		2. I read it briefly and thought it looked good. I liked the organization of it. I would agree with Michele's thoughts that with so many years of doing it, it was hard to see something missing.
		3. Suggestion to craft a “one pager” with info that staff who don’t need ALL the info can read!
	3. Action Steps:
		1. Please read and give feedback-particularly if you have not been around since the inception of CES—feedback by Friday 5/12 would be helpful
		2. Liz will begin crafting the one pager
3. CE Advisory Update 15 minutes
	1. Information:
		1. 2021:
			1. 8 Variance Requests (7 transfer requests; 5 RRH to PSH): several required back and forth to get all the information and make a decision. 5 were approved and 3 were given alternate options
		2. Discussion:
			1. Pattern of majority requests being RRH to PSH because they are coming on 2 years without housing solution
				1. Did housing provider do everything possible to resolve situation? Do they need PSH (intensive services) or rental assistance?
		3. Action Steps:
			1. Tracking the requests for PSH and what youth really need for advocacy down the road
4. Chronic Families and Youth Update 10 minutes
	1. Information:
		1. Quarterly updates to give better/comprehensive information
		2. See PP for graphs and data
	2. Discussion:
		1. “Chronic” includes whole housing history including previous entries into the priority pool
		2. Data includes people active in the priority pool or people actively searching who haven’t found housing yet
		3. Benchmarks/definitions are set by HUD. We use them to establish functional zero for these households
5. Single Adults Pool maintenance discussion 40 minutes
	1. Data Review – where we’re at
		1. Information: See PP for graphs and data
			1. Clarification: Demographics are HOH, not person
			2. Not considering a proposal to remove people based on how long they’ve been on the list because it would directly impact racial equity goals negatively
		2. MENTI Discussion
	2. Short-term/immediate plan
		1. Information (Slide: Community Transparency and Accountability)
			1. Goals: See PP for goals
			2. Recommendations: See PP for recommendations
			3. MENTI Poll: Do you agree with the procedure recommendation
				1. 10 Yes 0 No 3 I don’t know
			4. Slide: Numbers of not updated as of 4/22
				1. MENTI Poll

Who are the notifications of needing updates going to?

Just the assessor, which is why we need a change. More assessments = more follow ups

* + 1. Discussion on Logistical Questions:
			1. Hesitation to send out the list to all of the people in our group for privacy reasons
				1. Maybe we have a “case consult” for this topic?

Frequency wouldn’t have to be as often (once a month or every other month?)

* + - 1. Is there a way to know who has been attempted to contact vs. haven’t been reached out to at all?
				1. No, the report just pulls when last review was added
				2. Should not put in an interim saying “contacted-no response” or it will disappear them from the reports and they would get lost
				3. Could make this note in the notes of the previous interim
			2. Ideal would be that in these conversations we be offering them something vs. just getting info and hanging up
				1. This is happening naturally
				2. Easiest if you have regular contact for case consult or relationship with the person
			3. Summary of Discussion:
				1. Scott/Carver are being very proactive and there are structures in place to catch-up
				2. Potential once a month SMAC Case Consult will look at 180+ days.
				3. Assessors that go to Dakota meeting do not attend SMAC so there is a small disconnect in the community

How do we share information without data privacy violation?

* + 1. Action Steps:
			1. You can run Data Reports on your own to help!
				1. 222 Assessor Agency Check Report
				2. 248 Client CE Status Report
			2. Present at a Heading Home meeting
				1. Liz will provide PP and Notes!

Peter will provide for Scott

Diane can do Anoka

Dorreen can do Washington

Kristen can do Carver

* + - 1. Email Heading Home Exec Committee
				1. Liz will provide base messaging you can use
1. Coming Attractions? 5 minutes
2. Review actions/next meeting 5 minutes

Diane Pottratz-YMCA

Liz Moen- CES

Michele Reid - AC

Patrick Morley- Salvation Army

Suzanne Misel Meserow (Scott/Carver County)

Alyssa - CES

Bill Church (Ally Dakota Outreach)

Casey S

Daniel Lauer-Schumacher (Scott County)

Doreen Farrell (Wash Co)

Hans Dosland-YMCA

Heather Duchscherer

Kim

Jen Romaro

Mark M

Matt Lewis (RADIAS Health)

Mica Whiteley

Peter Goldstein

Racher Bauer

Sheena Addis-The Link

Sneha Singh-AWUM

Teri Lazaretti